

General evaluation - 1 *

- Original work
- Possibility of plagiarism

General evaluation - 2 *

- The paper may be published in the current form
- The paper may be published with minor corrections
- The paper may be published with major corrections
- The paper is not suitable for publication

General evaluation - 3

The paper's subject is scientifically significant *

General evaluation - 4

The paper reflects the current state of the art *

Contribution to the field *

- The paper is innovative
- The paper contains new conclusions, results, interpretations, material etc.
- The paper provides no new contribution to the field

Methodology *

- Correct and sufficiently described
- Not sufficiently described
- No description
- Incorrect

Literature/bibliography review *

- Current and relevant
- Important works were omitted
- Irrelevant or with major omissions

Title is clearly formulated *

The title is relevant and presents the subject of the paper *

Work structure *

Multiple choice

- Correct
- Minor changes needed
- Major changes needed
- The paper needs to be shortened
- The paper needs to be extended

Readability And Writing Style *

- Correct
- Need minor corrections
- Need major corrections

Bibliografia i przypisy

- In accordance with the journal's guidelines
- Bibliography format is incorrect
- Footnotes' format is incorrect

Display items (tables, figures etc.) *

Multiple choice.

Please specify the necessary changes below in the 'comments' section.

- Relevant
- Needs to be expanded
- Correct form / quality
- Form / quality needs to be improved

Comments *

Detail comments. Please specify here the details of any correctiones/changes suggested above in the form.

Please remeber to anonymise your comments!!! (this field will be seen by the author/s)

Hereby I state that I will not use the knowledge about the reviewed paper before its publication in the "Quarterly of History of Material Culture".

*

Comments and remarks for the editor

The author/s will not see this